

# Some characterizations of a class of balanced two-way elimination of heterogeneity designs

Idzi Siatkowski

Department of Mathematical and Statistical Methods, Agricultural University of Poznań, Wojska Polskiego 28, 60-637 Poznań, Poland

## Summary

We characterize the variance balance and efficiency balance of a class of two-way elimination of heterogeneity designs in relation to the property of equal treatment replications and in relation to the corresponding balance properties of the treatment-row and treatment-column subdesigns.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Consider a *two-way elimination of heterogeneity design* in which  $v$  treatments are allocated on  $n$  experimental units arranged in  $b_1$  rows and  $b_2$  columns. Let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_v)'$ ,  $\mathbf{k}_1 = (k_{11}, \dots, k_{1b_1})'$ , and  $\mathbf{k}_2 = (k_{21}, \dots, k_{2b_2})'$  denote the vector of treatment replications, the vector of row sizes, and the vector of column sizes, respectively, and let  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{K}_1$ , and  $\mathbf{K}_2$  be the diagonal matrices with the successive elements of  $\mathbf{r}$ ,  $\mathbf{k}_1$ , and  $\mathbf{k}_2$  on their diagonals. Moreover, let  $\mathbf{N}_1$  be the  $v \times b_1$  treatment-row incidence matrix, let  $\mathbf{N}_2$  be the  $v \times b_2$  treatment-column incidence matrix, and let  $\mathbf{N}_{12}$  be the  $b_1 \times b_2$  row-column incidence matrix.

A crucial role in the analysis of this design is played by the *C-matrix* defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C} &= \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{N}'_1 - (\mathbf{N}_2 - \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{N}'_{12})(\mathbf{K}_2 - \mathbf{N}'_{12} \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{N}_{12})^{-1} (\mathbf{N}_2 - \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{N}'_{12})' \\ &= \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{K}_2^{-1} \mathbf{N}'_2 - (\mathbf{N}_1 - \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{K}_2^{-1} \mathbf{N}'_{12})(\mathbf{K}_1 - \mathbf{N}_{12} \mathbf{K}_2^{-1} \mathbf{N}'_{12})^{-1} (\mathbf{N}_1 - \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{K}_2^{-1} \mathbf{N}'_{12})' \end{aligned}$$

---

*Key words:* two-way elimination of heterogeneity design, row-column designs, efficiency-balance, variance-balance

where the minus superscript denotes any generalized inverse of a matrix; cf. Raghavarao and Federer (1975). The C-matrices of the two related subdesigns are

$$C_h = R - N_h K_h^{-1} N_h'$$

with  $h = 1$  for the treatment-row subdesign and  $h = 2$  for the treatment-column subdesign, while the matrix  $C_0$  is defined as

$$C_0 = R - rr'/n \quad (1)$$

A two-way elimination of heterogeneity design is said to be *connected* if all treatment contrasts are unbiasedly estimable in the corresponding fixed linear model, for which it is necessary and sufficient that  $r(C) = v - 1$ , where  $r(C)$  denotes the rank of  $C$ . A two-way elimination of heterogeneity design is said to be *variance-balanced* if every normalized estimable treatment contrast is estimated in the corresponding linear model with the same variance. It is known [cf. Kshirsagar (1957) and Singh, Dey, and Nigam (1979)] that a connected two-way elimination of heterogeneity design is variance-balanced if and only if

$$C = \alpha Q_v \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $Q_v = I_v - \mathbf{1}_v \mathbf{1}'_v / v$  is the orthogonal projector on the ortho-complement of the subspace spanned by  $\mathbf{1}_v$ , the  $v \times 1$  vector of ones. A two-way elimination of heterogeneity design is said to be *efficiency-balanced* if every estimable treatment contrast is estimated in the corresponding linear model with the same efficiency. Following Williams (1975), we observe that a connected two-way elimination of heterogeneity design is efficiency-balanced if and only if

$$C = \theta C_0 \quad \text{for some } \theta \in (0,1], \quad (3)$$

where  $C_0$  is as defined in (1). The balance properties for the treatment-row and treatment-column subdesigns are defined analogously, and the criteria (2) and (3) modify to

$$C_h = \alpha_h Q_v \quad \text{for some } \alpha_h > 0 \quad (4)$$

and

$$C_h = \theta_h C_0 \quad \text{for some } \theta_h \in (0,1), \quad (5)$$

respectively, where  $h = 1, 2$ .

For all connected two-way elimination of heterogeneity designs with equal row sizes, equal column sizes, and whose C matrices can be represented in the form

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R} - v_1 \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{N}'_1 - v_2 \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{N}'_2 + \rho \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}' \quad \text{for some } v_1, v_2, \rho > 0, \quad (6)$$

some new results are derived concerning characterizations of the balance properties of a design in relation to the property of equal treatment replications and in relation to the corresponding balance properties of the treatment-row and treatment-column subdesigns.

It is known, that (6) may hold also for designs with equal row sizes and equal column sizes, which do not satisfy the condition

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}_1 + \mathbf{C}_2 - \mathbf{C}_0$$

considered by Baksalary and Shah (1990). An example, with 7 treatments allocated in 7 rows and 7 columns, is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} * & 3 & 5 & * & 2 & * & * \\ * & * & 4 & 6 & * & 3 & * \\ * & * & * & 5 & 7 & * & 4 \\ 5 & * & * & * & 6 & 1 & * \\ * & 6 & * & * & * & 7 & 2 \\ 3 & * & 7 & * & * & * & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & * & 1 & * & * & * \end{array},$$

where the integers 1 through 7 denote distinct treatments and the asterisks indicate blanks; cf. Agrawal (1966). In this case  $\mathbf{C}_1 = \mathbf{C}_2 = (7/3)\mathbf{Q}_7$  and  $\mathbf{C}_0 = 3\mathbf{Q}_7$ , thus yielding  $\mathbf{C}_1 + \mathbf{C}_2 - \mathbf{C}_0 = (5/3)\mathbf{Q}_7$ , whereas  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Q}_7$ . But matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  may be represented as in (6) with  $\rho = 2/21$  and any  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  such that  $v_1 + v_2 = 1$ .

## 2. Properties of balanced designs

Singh, Dey, and Nigam (1979) gave the following characterization of balance of two-way elimination of heterogeneity designs.

*Theorem 1.* For a connected two-way elimination of heterogeneity design with the number of treatments  $v \geq 3$ , any two of the following properties imply the third property:

- (a) the design is efficiency-balanced,
- (b) the design is variance-balanced,
- (c) the design is equireplicated.

One of the topics of natural interest in the theory of two-way elimination of heterogeneity designs is investigating relationships between properties of a given design and the corresponding properties of its treatment-row and treatment-column subdesigns. If the property in question is the efficiency balance, then an immediate consequence of the criteria (3) and (5) is the following.

*Theorem 2.* For a connected two-way elimination of heterogeneity design with equal row sizes, equal column sizes, and such that  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R} - v_1 \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{N}'_1 - v_2 \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{N}'_2 + \rho \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}'$  for some  $v_1, v_2, \rho > 0$ , any two of the following properties imply the third property:

- (a) the design is efficiency-balanced,
- (b) the treatment-row subdesign is efficiency-balanced,
- (c) the treatment-column subdesign is efficiency-balanced.

*Proof.* If the row sizes of the design are all equal to  $k_1$  and the column sizes are all equal to  $k_2$ , then condition (6), which may be reexpressed as

$$\mathbf{C} = k_1 v_1 (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{N}'_1 / k_1) + k_2 v_2 (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{N}'_2 / k_2) - n \rho (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}' / n) ,$$

is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{C} = k_1 v_1 \mathbf{C}_1 + k_2 v_2 \mathbf{C}_2 - n \rho \mathbf{C}_0 . \quad (7)$$

In view of (7), the theorem is an immediate consequence of criteria (3) and (5).  $\square$

Similarly as for efficiency-balanced designs, relationships between variance properties of a design and its subdesigns may be formulated.

*Theorem 3.* For a connected two-way elimination of heterogeneity design with the number of treatments  $v \geq 3$ , equal row sizes, equal column sizes, and such that  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R} - v_1 \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{N}'_1 - v_2 \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{N}'_2 + \rho \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}'$  for some  $v_1, v_2, \rho > 0$ , any three of the following properties imply the fourth property:

- (a) the design is variance-balanced,
- (b) the treatment-row subdesign is variance-balanced,
- (c) the treatment-column subdesign is variance-balanced,
- (d) the design is equireplicated.

*Proof.* In view of (7), the theorem is an immediate consequence of criteria (2) and (4) and the fact that if  $v \geq 3$ , then  $\mathbf{C}_0$  is proportional to  $\mathbf{Q}_v$  if and only if the design is equireplicated.  $\square$

It turns out that for the subclass of all two-way elimination of heterogeneity designs whose C-matrices have the representation (6), the part "(a) and (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c)" of Theorem 1 may be substantially strengthened.

*Theorem 4.* For a connected two-way elimination of heterogeneity design with equal row sizes and column sizes, with the property that each of  $v \geq 3$  treatment occurs in each row as well as in each column at most once, and with the C-matrix of the form  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R} - v_1 \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{N}'_1 - v_2 \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{N}'_2 + \rho \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}'$  for some  $v_1, v_2, \rho > 0$ , consider the following statements:

- (a) the design is efficiency-balanced,
- (b) the design is variance-balanced,
- (c) the design is equireplicated.

Then (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b)  $\wedge$  (c) and (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (a)  $\wedge$  (c).

*Proof.* The parts (b)  $\wedge$  (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) and (a)  $\wedge$  (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) are inherent in Theorem 1. If (a) holds, then combining (6) with (3) yields

$$\mathbf{R} - v_1 \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{N}'_1 - v_2 \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{N}'_2 + \rho \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}' = \theta (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}' / n) . \quad (8)$$

According to the assumption, the matrices  $\mathbf{N}_1$  and  $\mathbf{N}_2$  are binary, and therefore comparing the  $i$ -th diagonal elements on the two sides of (8) leads to the equality

$$r_i - v_1 r_i - v_2 r_i + \rho r_i^2 = \theta (r_i - r_i^2 / n) .$$

Hence it follows that  $r_i$  is independent of  $i$ , thus implying (c). If (b) holds, then combining (6) with (2) yields

$$\mathbf{R} - v_1 \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{N}'_1 - v_2 \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{N}'_2 + \rho \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}' = \alpha (\mathbf{I}_v - \mathbf{1}_v \mathbf{1}'_v / v) , \quad (9)$$

and comparing the  $i$ -th diagonal elements on the two sides of (9) leads to the equality

$$r_i - v_1 r_i - v_2 r_i + \rho r_i^2 = \alpha (1 - 1/v) . \quad (10)$$

Hence

$$(r_i - r_{i'}) [1 - v_1 - v_2 + \rho(r_i + r_{i'})] = 0 \quad \text{for every } i, i' = 1, \dots, v, i \neq i' . \quad (11)$$

It is clear that (10) also entails the inequality  $1 - v_1 - v_2 + \rho r_i > 0$ . Consequently, the expression in brackets in (11) is positive, which leads to (c).  $\square$

For designs with C-matrices of the form (6), relationships between the properties of efficiency balance and commutativity, defined by

$$\mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{N}'_1 \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{K}_2^{-1} \mathbf{N}'_2 = \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{K}_2^{-1} \mathbf{N}'_2 \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{N}'_1 ,$$

or, equivalently

$$\mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 = \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_1 ,$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_h = \mathbf{R}^{-1/2} \mathbf{C}_h \mathbf{R}^{-1/2} , \quad h = 0, 1, 2 ,$$

is given in Theorem 5 below.

*Theorem 5.* A connected two-way elimination of heterogeneity design with equal row sizes, equal column sizes, and such that  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R} - v_1\mathbf{N}_1\mathbf{N}'_1 - v_2\mathbf{N}_2\mathbf{N}'_2 + \rho\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'$  for some  $v_1, v_2, \rho > 0$  is efficiency-balanced if and only if it satisfies the commutativity property  $\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2 = \mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_1$  and the value of  $v_1k_1\varphi_{1i} + v_2k_2\varphi_{2i}$  is the same for  $i = 1, \dots, v-1$ , where the nonzero eigenvalues  $\varphi_{h1}, \dots, \varphi_{h,v-1}$ ,  $h = 1, 2$ , are ordered correspondingly to a fixed set of common eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}_1$  and  $\mathbf{A}_2$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that (7) may be reformulated as

$$\mathbf{A} = v_1k_1\mathbf{A}_1 + v_2k_2\mathbf{A}_2 - \rho n\mathbf{A}_0, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}^{-1/2}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{R}^{-1/2}.$$

Postmultiplying in (6) by  $\mathbf{1}_v$  leads to the equality  $\mathbf{0} = (1 - v_1k_1 - v_2k_2 + \rho n)\mathbf{r}$ , and hence

$$\rho n = v_1k_1 + v_2k_2 - 1. \quad (13)$$

Substituting (13) into (12) yields

$$\mathbf{A}_0 - \mathbf{A} = v_1k_1(\mathbf{A}_0 - \mathbf{A}_1) + v_2k_2(\mathbf{A}_0 - \mathbf{A}_2),$$

which implies that, for every  $i = 1, \dots, v-1$ ,

$$1 - \varphi_i = v_1k_1(1 - \varphi_{1i}) + v_2k_2(1 - \varphi_{2i}). \quad (14)$$

In view of (12), if  $\mathbf{A} = \varepsilon\mathbf{A}_0$ , then  $v_1k_1\mathbf{A}_1 + v_2k_2\mathbf{A}_2 = (\varepsilon + \rho n)\mathbf{A}_0$ , which clearly implies that  $\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2 = \mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_1$  and  $v_1k_1\varphi_{1i} + v_2k_2\varphi_{2i} = \varepsilon + n\rho$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, v-1$ . Conversely, if  $\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2 = \mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_1$  and  $v_1k_1\varphi_{1i} + v_2k_2\varphi_{2i}$  is constant, then (14) shows that the eigenvalues  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{v-1}$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  are all equal, i.e.  $\mathbf{A}$  is a scalar multiple of  $\mathbf{A}_0$ . □

### Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Professor Jerzy K. Baksalary for helpful discussions.

### REFERENCES

- Agrawal, H. L. (1966). Some systematic methods of construction of designs for two-way elimination of heterogeneity. *Calcutta Statist. Assoc. Bull.* **15**, 93-108.
- Baksalary, J. K. and K. R. Shah (1992). Some properties of two-way elimination of heterogeneity designs. *Probability, Statistics and Design of Experiments* (R. R. Bahadur, ed.), Wiley Eastern, Delhi.

- Kshirsagar, A. M. (1957). On balancing in designs in which heterogeneity is eliminated in two directions. *Calcutta Statist. Assoc. Bull.* **7**, 161-166.
- Puri, P. D. and A. K. Nigam (1975). On patterns of efficiency-balanced designs. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B* **37**, 457-458.
- Raghavarao, D. and W. T. Federer (1975). On connectedness in two-way elimination of heterogeneity designs. *Ann. Statist.* **3**, 730-735.
- Singh, M., A. Dey, and A. K. Nigam (1979). Two-way elimination of heterogeneity - II. *Sankhya Ser. B* **40**, 227-235.
- Williams, E. R. (1975). Efficiency-balanced designs. *Biometrika* **62**, 686-689.

*Received 15 August 1994*